



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/648,125 | 08/25/2003 | Steve Grove | 2043.102US1 | 3210 |

49845 7590 12/27/2005

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH/EBAY
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

TRUONG, CAM Y T

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2162

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/648,125 | GROVE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Cam Y T. Truong | 2162 | |

All Participants:

(1) Cam Y T. Truong.

(2) Larry Johnson (Attorney).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) Rejection discussed

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Cam Y T. Truong
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative will add new claimed limitation "allowing a user to modify to the content of the proposed listing and displaying the proposed listing after modifying" in independent claims for further consideration. Barts and Ojha did not teach the above claimed limitation..